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Still no rigorous definition is known so far!
Prior work

Only since recently we know: weighted straight skeletons can behave very differently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Simple polygon</th>
<th>Polygon with holes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S(P)$ is connected</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S(P)$ has no crossing</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f(e)$ is monotone w.r.t. $e$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bd $f(e)$ is a simple polygon</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T(P)$ is $z$-monotone</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S(P)$ has $n(S(P)) - 1 + h$ edges</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S(P)$ is a tree</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: [Biedl et al., 2013]
Prior work — ambiguity of edge events

Ambiguity for parallel edges of different weights become adjacent.
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Still open: How to handle split events?
Split events
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But for arbitrary weights the standard scheme may fail.
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How to handle this?
Guiding principle

At all times between events, the wavefront shall be planar.
Pairing edges

First:

- Remove collapsed edges.

Task: Find a pairing of remaining edges to restore planarity of \( \mathcal{W}_P \).

- Is this always possible? Uniquely?
Directed pseudo-line arrangements

- We have \( k \) involved chains.
  - Hence, \( 2k \) (non-collapsed) edges.
  - Assign direction to each edge.
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- We have $k$ involved chains.
  - Hence, $2k$ (non-collapsed) edges.
  - Assign direction to each edge.
- Consider supporting lines of edges, after the event.
  - → pseudo-line arrangement $\mathcal{L}$ of directed pseudo-lines.
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Theorem

Every directed pseudo-line arrangement has a planar matching.
Stable roommates

- Every pseudo-line has a preference list (ranking) of all others.
- Blocking pair \( \{\ell_i, \ell_j\} \): They prefer each other over their matching partners.
- Matching is stable if there are no blocking pairs.

Lemma

\[ \mathcal{L} \text{ has a planar matching if and only if there is a stable matching.} \]
Stable partitions

Stable partition:

- Permutation $\pi$ of $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_N$.
- In each cycle of size $\geq 3$: each $\ell$ prefers $\pi(\ell)$ over $\pi^{-1}(\ell)$.
- There is no party-blocking pair $\{\ell_i, \ell_j\}$: they prefer each other over $\pi^{-1}(\ell_i)$ and $\pi^{-1}(\ell_j)$.
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Stable partition:

- Permutation $\pi$ of $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_N$.
- In each cycle of size $\geq 3$: each $\ell$ prefers $\pi(\ell)$ over $\pi^{-1}(\ell)$.
- There is no party-blocking pair $\{\ell_i, \ell_j\}$: they prefer each other over $\pi^{-1}(\ell_i)$ and $\pi^{-1}(\ell_j)$.

Theorem ([Tan and Hsueh, 1995])

1. There is a stable partition, and it can be found in polynomial time.
2. There is a stable matching if and only if there is a stable partition with no cycles of odd size.

Theorem

There are no parties of odd size for directed pseudo-line arrangements.
Odd parties do not exist

**Lemma**

The tails of \( \ell \) and \( \ell' \) do not intersect, unless \( \pi(\ell) = \ell' \) or \( \pi(\ell') = \ell \).

**Lemma**

There cannot be two parties of size at least three.
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Non-Uniqueness

\[ B(p, \epsilon) \]
No planar wavefront